

Excerpt summary of the presentation to the  
ISH 16<sup>th</sup> International Congress of  
Hypnotherapy and Hypnosis  
on Tuesday 19<sup>th</sup> October 2004,  
Suntec Convention Centre, Singapore

## How to Reverse a Cancer

by

Dennis K. Chong & Jennifer K. Smith Chong

There are today these models that have been proposed to invert a cancer:

1. from Texas, USA, the Simonton's model using visualization. We believe that this approach enjoys the very powerful support of Professor David Spiegel of Stanford University
2. from Santa Cruz, USA the Robert Dilts model applying progressive life style changes until "critical mass" is reached and then the cancer will invert
3. from the Netherlands, the Hugo Neilsen Method. The presupposition of the method is that in the description of a person acupuncture meridians is the history of a person's life. If this description is changed, the life history will change, and, the cancer will remit
4. from New Zealand, the Hamblett/Bolstad model using the power of the Chi plus amending all forms of analogical (and cognitive) semantic ill-formedness in a person
5. from Canada, the Chong/Smith-Chong model invoking the power of the Light of God, amending all forms of analogical (and cognitive) semantic ill-formedness and unraveling the critical ill-formed emotion that was translated into cancer by the given conduit of junko logic
6. from the USA, the book of Norman Cousins, *The Anatomy of an Illness* in which he describes the events surrounding his case and its inversion. The book as such does not tell the reader what is the form of method used to invert its cancer. However, embedded in it is the way by which it was done. It is for the sharp and astute clinician to infer what was schema by which his cancer remitted
7. from various religious sites, Christian and non-Christian in which cancers were inverted. The presupposition is that the Divine is absolutely logical. If this is so, then, what is the logical way by which these inversions of cancers were evinced and which are documented in these places? We believe that there is here an incredibly rich field of research.

This summary concerns the model from Canada.

The logical presupposition of the model is that a cancer can be seen as an ontology\*. If so, then it can be inverted.

The critical elements of the method are:

a. the schema by which to link the person with the light of God. In order to do this:

1. the induction into trance **must be** by means of one of the variations of the Universal Induction Protocols that were created and elaborated by the Chongs
2. the patient must be guided into the Esdaile state
3. then the person is to be brought into proximity with the true SELF and hopefully that the Higher Self will come into union and in balance with the person. It is in this manner and form that the person will know what it is the experience to be one with oneSELF.
4. it is then for the Light of God to decide if the person is worthy for it to either reveal Itself to the person and or come into union and balance with the person.

b. the undoing of the general forms of semantic ill-formedness that are contingent to the Aristotelian system of Cause and Effect that afflict the client. It was Alfred Korzybski who identified in his seminal work, *Science and Sanity*, that the Aristotelian system of Cause and Effect (a.k.a. the Blame Frame) is the midwife of all manners and forms of systemic semantic ill-formedness. In our explorations and from our clinical work, we are now convinced that it is for all practical purposes impossible to do any clean Hypnotherapeutic or Psychotherapeutic work unless the person is extracted out of this system and re-sited in the system that is its natural opposite. This system is Alfred Korzybski's Non-Aristotelian system.

c. to address all forms of specific analogical semantic ill-formedness that are in the client. All forms of analogical semantic ill-formedness are unconscious and their implicate underpinnings are also unconscious. Clearly, only a competent hypnotic clinician is in a position to unravel these matters. In therapy, it is the implicate underpinnings that have to be undone. The methods of choice in unraveling are:

- (i). open hypnotic ended regression
- (ii). ideomotor questioning
- (iii). Barnett manoeuvre of anchoring a semantic item of consideration and regressing to its origins
- (iv). the Quadrant Search, as taught to the students at the time of the presentation

d. we define the intensity of an emotion to be at its semantic threshold (ST) when it is converted into its analog actuality. Thus, it is at its ST that:

anger becomes physical violence  
desire becomes courting and wooing

lust becomes raping  
 generosity becomes giving and gifting  
 anxiety becomes panicking  
 loves becomes intimacy  
 hate becomes hurting, harming and killing  
 want becomes thieving  
 etc.

Every emotion of the Dark Side has the potential to become actualized into some form of disease, let alone a cancer because these are their analog realities. For such events to take place, we discovered that a bridge or conduit is necessary to translate the emotion into its analog actuality. This bridge or conduit, we discovered was Junko Logic. What we are looking at is a form of analogical equation.

From what we have said, it follows that the form in actuality  $K^e$  (where  $k$  = the kinaesthetics or emotion as  $^e$  = externalized) is a function of the emotion,  $K^i$  (where emotion is  $^i$  = internal) at its semantic threshold  $< >^{ST}$ .

$$K^e = (f) < K^i >^{ST}.$$

For a mathematician, this equation states that the variable of the left is a function of the variable on the right as indexed by the cipher, (f).

However, the operation of the function is translated into reality by junko logic (jl). Therefore, the equation assumes this mathematical form:

$$K^e = (jl) < K^i >^{ST}.$$

This equation for a mathematician reads that the item on the left is equal to the product of its constant multiplied by the value of the variable on the left. A classic of this form of mathematical equation is that of the equation of a circle,  $y = mx$ .

Richard Bandler first coined this phrase. Bandler was the co-founder of the field of Neuro-Linguistic Programming.

Junko Logic refers to analogical semantic ill-formedness. It is an illogicality in the body language that is bereft of all humour. It is with it that the emotion can then be translated into its analog actuality, which in this case is a cancer.

Our model therefore requires that:

1. the critical responsible emotion at ST be identified
2. the critical form of Junko Logic be worked out
3. both the emotion at ST and the Junko Logic must then be undone

We advise that:

1. our model be used only when a patient has been informed that for his cancer:
  - a. surgery has no place
  - b. radiation is ineffective
  - c. chemotherapy will do nothing.
2. our model is to be used for cases in which medicine has proven completely effective in "curing" it. This is for the contingency in

which after some years later the cancer recurs with a vengeance. We say that the equation by which the cancer unfolds in the individual is still extant in the person. Therefore, in the evolution of a person's life circumstances, the equation can be, so to speak, reignited or reactivated to unfold the cancer. Therefore, it is critical for the critical responsible emotion be identified and the Junko Logic be undone that such a thing does not happen.

3. we retain our utmost humility and deepest profound respect because life and death, for any human being, is a matter of divine dispensation. Our model can be applied with accuracy and excellence. However, in the end, whether the person is to live or die, is a matter of the Will of God; and this prayer will always hold:

Thy will be done, Oh Lord!

\*Ontology was originally a sub-domain of ancient Greek philosophy and it was the study and exploration about the fabric or structure of human subjective reality. Today, we note that except for the emergent field of Neuro-Semantic Programming, this field of study is not truly undertaken by either Psychiatry or Psychology. In these two latter field the way is to append nouns to clinical conditions of mental ill-health.

