

# History of Freedom Seminar and Neuro-Semantic Programming Part II

by

Dennis K. Chong & Jennifer K. Smith Chong ©

In this article, all male pronouns will apply to either gender

The nominal pronoun will refer to the first author.

The spelling of words is in British English.

We had dutifully studied the work, *Structure of Magic* and therefore knew the Meta Model, 2M<sup>1</sup> of Neuro-Linguistic Programming. In its day it represented a unique linguistic logical system of its own and had the function of being a very powerful and worthwhile gathering information tool.

It was in *Patterns of the Hypnotic Techniques of Milton H. Erickson, M.D., Volume 1* that Richard Bandler and John Grinder indexed that the inversions of the language formats of 2M would constitute **THE** language of Hypnosis. We **could not agree** with them that it was **THE** language of Hypnosis but only that it was one of a set. However, it is a very powerful language of Hypnosis.

As things were to evolve, by 1993 we became aware that 2M was deficient in its scope, and, it was also defective in certain domains. The principle defect was that it was **entirely constructed within the semantic ill-formedness of Cause and effect A**. We, therefore, extracted it out of **A** and reconfigured it in **Ā**. We also corrected the erroneous elements that came out 2M accepting the proposition of Neurology that one could filter out one's sensory information. If you believe this then try doing it and you will find it cannot be done. The proposition is therefore an error<sup>2</sup>. Then we were to expand and augment it. By these changes, we had no choice but to rename it the Modified Meta Model, 3M. We also willingly did so as it was the only way we could acknowledge Bandler and Grinder for their very significant contributions to the field Hypnotism and Psychotherapy.

We had always known that the field of Formal Logic had a very minor role in the lives of people. From it, people only had syllogisms, modus ponens and modus tollens.

Then in 1991, we became aware of the field of **Informal Logic**. It was Formal Logicians who finally realized that people were using an **entirely different logical system**. It is out of their investigations that the field of Informal Logic was born. From our studies of it we then realized that **here was another language system** with its own unique logicity. As a unique linguistic logical system, it can also be used in Hypnosis.

We have collated everything that is significant about it into the *Manual of the Power Seminar*. It is in the Power Seminar that we teach Informal Logic. By doing so, we spare the individual the task of undertaking a 3 or 4 year university degree course to access knowledge about it and competency over it.

---

<sup>1</sup> **Meta Model, 2M** is the first known **metalanguage**. As a language about language it is the device by which you can get to truly understand what another person means by what he says. This will spare you the risky task of arriving at what you think or assume the speaker means.

<sup>2</sup> **The proposition is therefore an error** because what is actually happening is that we do not see what we are looking at, not hear what we are not listening to and not sense what we do not feel for.

By 1996, we were to realize that out of the language of  $\bar{A}$ , was a sub-set that we called the [language system of the No-Y-ian Frame, L~YF](#). This subset is published in the work, *Power and Elegance in Communication*, People, Paradigms and Paradoxes.

Each of these language systems  $\mathbf{A}$ ,  $\bar{A}$ , 3M, IL and L~YF represent different logical systems. [All humanity](#) uses  $\mathbf{A}$  with automatic unconscious fluency and ease. Neuro-Linguistic Programmers are the only people who have  $\mathbf{A}$  and 2M. However, today<sub>2009</sub>, there is body of Neuro-Semantic Programmers who have competency over  $\mathbf{A}$ ,  $\bar{A}$ , 3M, IL and L~YF.

It is very clear that in general communication and in Hypnosis, 99.999999999% of people use [only one language system](#), namely that of  $\mathbf{A}$ . Yet, all the other language systems enjoy, comparable [power and efficiency in human communication and Hypnosis](#)<sup>3</sup>.

On the foundations of these five language systems and the inevitable implicate alternatives of different choices in ways of logic and thinking, we were to discover:

1. the Principle of Overlap II<sup>4</sup> in Hypnotic Protocols
2. the Principle of Overlap III in Hypnotic Protocols
3. the expansion of human sorting patterns into the Enriched Meta Programs, EMPs
4. a model to replicate the use of Hypnosis in Surgery<sup>5</sup>
5. a consistent way to attain pain control in Obstetrics
6. a method for chronic Pain Control
7. a schema that can be replicated to invert a cancer
8. a way to use Hypnosis for Infertility
9. how a person may see the Light of God in him
10. the Quadrant Search which is one of the quickest and simplest ways to retrieve information from the unconscious
11. how to elicit quickly the language structures for problem states.
12. and many more.

It was the basis by which we have become international authors, presenters across a scan of international professional fora<sup>6</sup>, publishers, creators of the field study of Neuro-Semantic Programming, NSP and writers of articles that have been published in a scan of professional journals in the English speaking world and in one instance in an Argentine journal. We infer that anyone who masters these languages will, in an automatic and

---

<sup>3</sup> [greater power and efficiency in human communication and Hypnosis](#):

Logically if  $\mathbf{A}$  is insane then it is bereft of power and efficiency. Its effectiveness in life is only because of the hierarchical nature of all human relationships. By it, someone will have AUTHORITY and the power of legal sanctions over the other. This is the basis of efficiency in human affairs.

<sup>4</sup> Principle of Overlap II is preceded by the Principle of Overlap I, which was discovered by Bandler and Grinder. It concerned the linguistic manoeuvre by which one can guide a person from one sensory system to another. The Principle of Overlap II concerns how to track a person from one semantic kernel of consideration to another.

<sup>5</sup> Hypnosis in Surgery

The information about the model to use Hypnosis in Surgery is explicated in the work, *The Knife Without Pain*.

<sup>6</sup> fora is the plural of the Latin word forum.

intuitive way, chart a new and better course for his life than the one he has eked out by **A.**

We have yet to write the book on how to invert a cancer. Currently we have the singular honour and a privilege to teach it to the registrants at the Annual Convention of the National Guild of Hypnotists in Malborough, Massachusetts, International Medical and Dental Association in Daytona, Florida and the Mid-America Hypnosis Conference in Chicago, Illinois.

We had been aware of language structures for a very long time. As we examined it more and more, over the years, our findings entailed such a significant order of magnitude in logic and philosophy that, given our very minor status in the order of things, we felt we could not share with anyone what we had found. We could foresee the controversy that could erupt and with it, we could be denounced in a tsunami of ridicule and contempt.

Then, one day everything changed for us when we read this:

**Everything is language or more accurately the language structures of the language.**

**Meaning arises as a pattern of relationships among these linguistic distinctions, and thus, we exist in a “semantic domain” created by our language.**

**To be human is to exist in language. In language we coordinate our behaviour, and together in language we bring forth our world. “The world everyone sees,” writes Maturana and Varella, “is not *the* world but a world, which we bring forth with others.**

**Fritzof Capra: *Web of Life*, A New Scientific Understanding of Living Systems, Anchor Books, Doubleday, 1996, page 288.**

What is clear now is that any actual verbalization or languaging is a function of its language structures. From the Capra quote, it logically follows that, in any context, we exist in our semantic domain with our unique ontology of that moment and it would have been “**created by our language**”. It is for this that a person’s “reality” is **not his perceptions** unfolding out of his senses but it is his language that is the final determinant of his reality.

The proof of this is very simple. Take a pen and ask a person what do you see? He will tell you, “A pen.” However, think about it. Does he really see “A pen?” Are those words in front of the object that he reads?

If there is a sudden sound and you ask, “What on earth do you think that was?” One reply might be, “I think (I heard) it was a car crash.” Is it really possible to literally hear the words, “it was a car crash.”

If you blind fold a person and put a tomato into his hand, he feels it and then says, “I feel a tomato.” Think on it. It is amazing is it not that he is able to feel the word, “t o m a t o.”

To all reality is cast the veneer of language and therefore we use labels for things we see. It is this paintbrush of language that forms the waterfront of our reality.

However, what is more critical is the language structure of the language since it is the final determinant of our ontology and our semantics.

**References:**

**Dennis K. Chong & Jennifer K. Smith Chong:** *Manual of the Power Seminar*, C-Jade Publications, Inc, 1998.

**Dennis K. Chong & Jennifer K. Smith Chong:** *The Knife Without Pain*, C-Jade Publications, Inc, 1994.

**Richard Bandler & John Grinder:** *Structure of Magic*, Science and Behaviour Books, Inc, 1975.

**Richard Bandler & John Grinder:** *Structure of Magic II*, Science and Behaviour Books, Inc, 1976.

**Richard Bandler & John Grinder:** *Patterns of the Hypnotic Techniques of Milton H. Erickson, M.D., Volume 1*, Meta Publications, 1975.

**John Grinder, Judith DeLozier & Richard Bandler:** *Patterns of the Hypnotic Techniques of Milton H. Erickson, M.D., Volume 2*, Meta Publications, 1977.