



No-Y-ian Newsletter and Journal

Editor: Eleanor Evans

Spring 2010 Volume 4, Number 2

It is the way of things that when the season changes, the next set of NSP seminars has to be prepared. This entails defining the dates, updating the flyers for the office and for the web page.

To date the last of the core NSP Seminars, the No-Y-ian Seminar has not been offered. The problem is to find the time to do so.

The Weekends that Never Were:

Once, Dennis and Jennifer Chong used to do one Freedom Seminar every month. Now they do one per season. This means that today, they work four weekends every year.

From the Freedom unfolds per season the De-Imprinting and the Power Seminar. The De-Imprinting Seminar takes one weekend; and the Power takes two weekends. This means that these two latter seminars take up three weekends; and with the Freedom Seminar it is 4-weekends/per season. This means a total of sixteen weekends per year devolve to these seminars.

With the decision to offer a Spring, Fall and Miscellaneous workshop means another three weekends are spoken for. The total is now 19 weekends devolve to teaching.

They are on faculty annually at the Dayton Hypnosis conference and the Mid-American Hypnosis Conference in Chicago. That is another two weekends gone. The total is now 21 weekends.

The No-Y-ian Tutorials average about two Sundays every month except for the month of August when the school closes down. That means that some twenty to twenty four weekends devolve to teaching.

One is looking at a grand total of some 41 to 45 possible weekends in a year the Chongs give to teaching. They will have 7 11 full weekends for themselves. Additionally, they average two to three Saturdays per month baby-sitting for their grandsons. For Dennis and Jennifer doing this baby-sitting is a very precious thing.

So, this is the answer to the mystery of the missing weekends?

Can it be said they live very busy lives?

Who is complaining anyway?

Debts:

It was Arthur Koestler who first pointed out that people who discover things are invariably unaware of the significance of what they have found. It is for this that many creative acts are lost in the oblivion of time. The Chongs were to find out the truth of Koestler's insight; they were blind to the significance of what they found. Mercifully and thankfully, their students saved them from the maw of oblivion. They now take an opportunity to list those who help in salvaging Neuro-Semantic Programming and the Peace Project, once known as the No-Y-ian Project:

1. about 25/26 years ago, a group of five persons came together for a whole day at the then Ramada Inn in Oakville to thrash out the mission of the No-Y-ian Project in:

The purpose of the No-Y-ian Project is to offer to this world a category of better and more powerful mindsets that they the destructive and impoverishing ones they currently use.

They truly worked very hard to come up with this mission statement. Unfortunately we cannot remember all their names. In fact we can only part of one of the names one of these 5 persons, where he lived and what his business was and what where it was located. Part of his name is Clifford. He lived in Burlington. His business was in car leasing.

If any of them should read this, the Chongs pray that they will remind them that they were the persons involved in the mission statement

2. The Freedom Seminar came into its form in 1983. In those days it was known as the Question of WHY Seminars. It was not until 1996 that after doing the Freedom seminar that one of the Chong's students came to thank Dennis for the benefits and value of the Freedom Seminar to her. Out of it she had the first ever happy and wonderful Christmas with her widower father who came from England to spend it with her and her family. From what she learnt about the Blame Frame, she was able to handle him. In doing so she was able to get for herself and her family the kind of Christmas that eluded for years and years. Then, then she went on to say:

However, for all I got out the seminar, I must tell you that I am still in the Blame Frame.

When he heard this, Dennis could not believe it. He was stunned! All he could do was to say to her that he would talk to Jennifer about it. When he met Jennifer, he told her, " We have a problem!" The question was of course:

How was it possible that she was still in the Blame Frame following the Freedom Seminar.

There was by then such a substantial body of the evidence as represented by a whole slew of written feedbacks that affirmed the incredible and wonderful life changing benefits of the Freedom Seminar?

Out of the conversation between them emerged the insight that the Freedom Seminar did not *per se* remove the imprints of the language structures of the Blame Frame in her body language, or anyone for that matter. This was an incredible epiphany, an AHA. In two months Dennis and Jennifer put on the first ever De-Imprinting Seminar.

Dennis & Jennifer Chong now take this opportunity to thank Jo Kemp for opening their eyes to do the De-Imprinting.

They now confess that initially they were not rigorous in offering the De-Imprinting after every Freedom Seminar. The Chongs wanted their weekends. They were also blind to the critical and logical significance of doing so.

3. The two years after Jo Kemp, one of them students name Tom Wood came to thank Dennis for the Freedom Seminar and the De-Imprinting Seminar. However he said:

I am still in the Blame Frame!

Dennis spluttered in disbelief. All he could offer to him was the promise that he would speak with Jennifer. In their conversation they concluded that neither the Freedom not the De-Imprinting were designed to remove the language structures that were typeset into the mind. After some hard work the De-Typeset Seminar was formulated and put on in 1998. In time they changed its name to the Power Seminar.

The Chongs truly thank Tom Wood for teaching them the place of the Power Seminar.

From the De-Imprinting and Power came the natural unfolding of the No-Y-ian Seminar.

The Chongs are sure that but for Jo and Tom, the No-Y-ian Seminar would never have been created. So they thank them for their pivotal and significant place in the creation of the No-Y-ian Seminars.

It was only much later did we see that these four seminars as the core teaching seminars of the field of Neuro-Linguistic Programming.

4. Tom Muhic was to come into the lives of the Chongs. His great contribution to them was in 1999. It took place, just before the first ever No-Y-ian Tutorial BBQ. He offered Dennis an input that was the basis of an epiphany in which he suddenly realized that the No-Y-ian Project was the condition for the Peace Project.

In turn, it brought back a very significant memory.

It was in 1980/81. John Grinder and Richard Bandler were doing a workshop at the then Hyatt Hotel, Yorkville, Toronto. During this workshop, John Grinder asserted that kinaesthetic blaming is the basis for physical violence. Dennis could not understand how this could be so. Looking back Dennis realizes how it was that he did not. He was a auditory blamer, a.k.a. verbal abuser. He that was the one and only way to blame. The entire workshop came to a halt as both Bandler and Grinder did everything they could to help him understand what they were saying. Not without some finely controlled exasperation, John Grinder took Dennis to one side to help him to understand the relationship between kinaesthetic blaming and physical violence. Finally, Dennis saw it. Grinder then turned to return to the rostrum to join Bandler. He was no more that 4/5 feet away from Dennis when he had an epiphany. He rushed to Grinder and said to him:

If kinaesthetic blaming is violence, then is not war an inevitable function of human kinaesthetic blaming?

To this day, Dennis can still remember his reply. Grinder never said anything. His face suddenly seemed to pale and look drawn; and his lips thinned a little. Then holding Dennis' gaze, he then gave two very slow gentle nods. Then he turned and walked away. It was the most stunning analogical reply a human has ever given Dennis.

5. Dennis comes from a Jesuitical background. For him, in the world of education, scholarship and learning it is by reading, attending classes and lectures, doing laboratory experiments, tutorials and private tuitions and study groups.

Then in April 2010, one of our students, Fran Vacirca opened his eyes that there are today, alternative teaching and hence learning methods.

By this input, she has helped Dennis and Jennifer to expand the form and manner as to how to teach in the No-Y-ian Tutorials. For this Fran, we thank you. This was done on the Sunday No-Y-ian Tutorial of 2010. 06. 20. It was a success.

6. Then in April 2010, another of our students, Steve Corbeil, opened our eyes to the critical need to change the term the No-Y-ian Frame to the Freedom Frame. Jeninfer and Dennis could not see the logical basis for this on the first pass. Dennis slept on that night. When he woke up the next morning, it was so clear to him that Steve was right on the button. What is the point of the Freedom Seminar, if it is not to guide people into the Freedom Frame. Now comes the headache for them to change the term No-Y-ian to Freedom, in all documents and wherever it is used. It

also means that from now the No-Y-ian Tutorial is to be known as the Freedom Tutorial. We thank you for this Steve.

It is with this notice that the next issue of the Newsletter will be named the **Freedom Newsletter**. However, the journal will still be called the No-Y-ian Journal.

Finally, Dennis and Jennifer take this opportunity to acknowledge and thank Steve for his generous help to them in their work over these many years in so many different ways. His latest gift to them is two cam recorders by JVC.

For these recorders, the Chongs know that there will be a very rich input into the Video Section of the web page.

The problem is the time in editing the material; and for this Dennis and Jennifer thank Hilary Corbeil. Yes, Hilary is Mrs. Steve Corbeil and he will not argue with anyone that she is indeed his better half.

Spring Freedom Seminar of 2010. 05. 14/15/16

This was the class:



IMDHA/IACT Daytona Conference;

In June was the annual conference of IMDHA, (International Medical and Dental Hypnotherapy Association) and IACT, International Association of Counselors and Therapist. It is held in Daytona annually.

Dennis and Jennifer, presented their model of how to get surgical pain control by Hypnosis. A model is a way of doing a thing to get a specific outcome. If you have a model, a way to get you from Oakville to Georgetown, Ontario, you do not need 30,000 double blind trials to assure you that you have found the way to do so. Once Bill Gates developed DOS and he knew it worked, he did not have to

conduct 30,000 double blind trials to assure him that it would be the critical variable for the computers of his day.

At the annual dinner, Dennis was given IACT's Life Time Achievement Award. He was so overwhelmed by it that he had the greatest difficulty not to stutter, and in the process he did a terrible faux pas. He forgot to give due acknowledgement to Jennifer for all her years of help and input in their conjoint research.



Dennis with Robert Otto, President of IMDHA/IACT



After Daytona, Dennis and Jennifer went to Charleston.

It is a city that is on peninsula. It has the sea on three sides. It seemed as if there was a constant breeze wherever one was.

Its population is less than 100,000. By its strict preservation policy, it has saved its pre Civil War buildings. However it pervades with a very fine southern charm and it is the centre of the U.S Spoleto Festival.



It is a place they would retire to.



No-Y-ian Journal

La Mer -- The Sea

A blanket of death
spreads across the sea
fishermen weep on distant shores
feathered corpses lay in a heap
from man's greed
this substance pours
and the sea heaves
the birds cry
and fish gasp before they die,
creatures both large and small
are draped in a black shroud
we hear their frantic call.

La Mer, The Sea, memories flow
like a stream on and on they go
all the songs and stories told
of battleships and pirates bold.
White sails in the sunset
on a sea of blue
an artist's painting, comes into view,
salty air, seagull's in flight,

still waters on a moonlit night
waves breaking against a sea wall,
now dark shadows cover all.

On white pristine beaches
it leaves it's mark
this black death continues
so grim and dark
leaving broken hearts
and a gaping hole
this disaster in the sea
reaches deep into the heart and soul.

Margaret Marsan.



EMPs and Analogical Criteria

by

Dennis K. Chong and Jennifer K. Smith Chong ©

(In this article, all male pronouns will apply to either gender.

The nominal pronoun refers to the first author.

All plural pronouns refer to both authors.

All spelling is in British English)

I was visiting a friend for a weekend. When it was time to go home, it was clear that the car battery was dead. When Canadian Tire finally checked everything out and put in a new battery, the cost came to Cdn. \$146.00.

When my friend enquired how much it cost us and we told her, she immediately said,”

I must pay you this money!

Of course, Jennifer immediately said, “No.” I, in turn was amazed by my friend’s response. I wondered:

- how did she know to do that?
- what was she doing it for?

Clearly, there was an EMP (**E**nriched **M**eta **P**rograms - refer *Power and Elegance in Communication* pages 79 - 91) that was determining her sort. What was the sort? To answer this question we did an internal speculation as if in her person:

They have been so good to come and visit with me. They have already paid our so much in gasoline to come all the way from Oakville to Trenton. I must ensure that they do not entail

anymore additional costs. They will be upset if they do. I can afford it and if I do they not be upset or distressed.

If this speculation was accurate, then, the sort was by OTHER. More specifically, the possible EMPs were:

People should not be discomforted.
Ensure that people are not distressed.
People ought not be hurt financially.
See to it that people are not upset or distressed.

I then put the above list to her and she verbally and analogically opted for “*See to it that people are not upset or distressed.*”

Given that this was her EMP, the next question that came up for me spontaneously was what was the Analogical Criterion (AC) that she was seeking to satisfy. When I realised I had asked myself this question, I then put to Jennifer, and “There is a relationship between an EMP and an AC The former is the sort and the AC is the test for it.” We then proceeded to examine the validity of this proposition. Out of the examination we were satisfied that this relationship between and EMP and its AC is the basis for the myriad of unconscious ontological totes that exist in all of us.

So, in this instance what might be the AC that she is seeking to satisfy? One way to answer this question is to pose this:

What would be the worst case scenario (WCS) if the CEq was not satisfied?

Putting us in her shoes the possibilities that came to mind were:

1. They will think poorly of me if I do not help financially.
2. They will think I am not supportive.
3. They will think I am selfish.
4. They will think I keep my money to myself.

We offered to her these possibilities. Linguistically and analogically she opted for 1. The definitive AC will, therefore, now take the form of the generalization:

I must always ensure that people do not think poorly of me.

The point of this article is to index that EMPs and AC are considerations of different logical orders of consideration and that they subserve different functions. The EMP acts as the sort. The CEq is the test for the accuracy of the sort.

As for the question, did this tote serve? Now that is another interesting question that we leave the interested and curious reader to wonder and explore.

References:

Dennis K. Chong & Jennifer K. Smith Chong: *Critical Equivalence (CEq) AN UPGRADED VIEW*
Anchor Point July 1997 Vol.11, No.7.

Dennis K. Chong & Jennifer K. Smith Chong: *Power and Elegance in Communication* C-Jade
Publications Inc. 1993

Dennis K. Chong & Jennifer K. Smith Chong: *The METAPROGRAMS and The EMPs* forthcoming
article in Anchor Point.

